arXiv:1409.6449v4 [hep-ph] 31 Jan 2015

$B-S$ transition form-factors with the light-cone QCD sum rules

Zhi-Gang Wang [1]
Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

Abstract

In the article, we assume the two scalar nonet mesons below and above 1 GeV are all $\bar{q}q$ states, in case I, the scalar mesons below 1 GeV are the ground states, in case II, the scalar mesons above 1 GeV are the ground states. We calculate the $B-S$ form-factors by taking into account the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the twist-2 terms using the light-cone QCD sum rules and fit the numerical values of the form-factors into the single-pole forms, which have many phenomenological applications.

PACS number: 12.38.Lg, 13.20.He
Key words: B-meson, Light-cone QCD sum rules

1 Introduction

The underlying structures of the scalar mesons are not well established theoretically, there are many candidates with the quantum numbers $J^{PC}=0^{++}$ below 2 GeV, which cannot be accommodated in one $\bar{q}q$ nonet. Roughly speaking, they can be calcified into two nonets, the nonet $\{f_0(600)$, $a_0(980)$, $\kappa(800)$, $f_0(980)\}$ below 1 GeV and the nonet $\{f_0(1370)$, $a_0(1450)$, $K_0^*(1430)$, $f_0(1500)\}$ above 1 GeV. A prospective picture suggests that the scalar mesons above 1 GeV can be assign to be a conventional $\bar{q}q$ nonet with some possible glue components, while the scalar mesons below 1 GeV form an exotic $[qq]_{\bar{3}}[\bar{q}\bar{q}]_3$ nonet with substantial mixings with the $q\bar{q}$ states, meson-meson states and glueballs [1]. In the hadronic dressing mechanism, the scalar mesons below 1 GeV have small $\bar{q}q$ cores of typical $\bar{q}q$ meson size, strong couplings to the intermediate hadronic states enrich the pure $\bar{q}q$ states with other components and spend part of their lifetime as virtual meson-meson states [2]. All the existing pictures have both advantages and shortcomings in one way or another. In this article, we assume that the scalar mesons are all $\bar{q}q$ states, in case I, the scalar mesons below 1 GeV are the ground states, in case II, the scalar mesons above 1 GeV are the ground states; and study the $B-S$ transition form-factors with the light-cone QCD sum rules (LCSR) [3, 4].

The transition form-factors in the semi-leptonic decays not only depend on the dynamics of strong interactions among the quarks in the initial and final mesons, but also depend on the structures of the involved mesons. They are highly nonperturbative quantities. In the region small-recoil, where the momentum transfer squared q^2 is large, the form-factors are dominated by the soft dynamics, while in the large-recoil region, where $q^2\to 0$, the form-factors are dominated by the short-distance dynamics.

In the light-cone QCD sum rules, we carry out the operator product expansion near the light-cone $x^2\approx 0$ in stead of the short distance $x\approx 0$, the nonperturbative hadronic matrix elements are parameterized by the light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) of increasing twist instead of the vacuum condensates [3, 4]. Based on the quark-hadron duality, we can obtain copious information about the hadronic parameters at the phenomenological side. The transition form-factors from the LCSR not only have an estimable region of q^2, but also embody as many long-distance effects as possible involved in the decaying processes. The operator product expansion is valid at small and intermediate momentum transfer squared q^2, $0\leq q^2\leq (m_b-m_S)^2-2(m_b-m_S)\chi$, where the χ is a typical hadronic scale of roughly 500 MeV and independent of the b-quark mass m_b.

On the other hand, the semi-leptonic B-decays are excellent subjects in studying the CKM matrix elements and CP violations. We can use both the exclusive and inclusive $b\to u$ transitions to study the CKM matrix element V_{ub}. Furthermore, the processes induced by the flavor-changing neutral currents $b\to s(d)$ provide the most sensitive and stringent test for the standard model at

[1] E-mail,zgwang@aliyun.com.

one-loop level and can put powerful constraints on the new physics models, as they are forbidden at the tree-level in the standard model [5]. So reliable calculations of the transition form-factors are needed to make robust predictions.

The $B-S$ transition form-factors have been calculated with the LCSR [6, 7, 8, 9], the three-point QCD sum rules [10, 11, 12], the perturbative QCD [13], the light front quark model [14], etc. In Refs.[6, 7, 8, 9], the $B-S$ form-factors are calculated with the LCSR in the leading order approximation, the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections are neglected. Due to the lengthy calculations, only perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the twist-2 and twist-3 terms in the LCSR for the $B-P$, V form-factors [15, 16, 17] and perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ corrections to the twist-2 terms in the LCSR for the $B-\pi$ form-factor [18] are studied up to now, where the P and V denote the light pseudoscalar and vector mesons, respectively. In this article, we study the $B-S$ form-factors by taking into account the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the twist-2 terms using the LCSR.

The article is arranged as follows: we derive the LCSR for the $B-S$ form-factors by including the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the twist-2 terms in Sect.2; in Sect.3, we present the numerical results and discussions; and Sect.4 is reserved for our conclusions.

2 Light-cone QCD sum rules for the form-factors

In the following, we write down the two-point correlation functions $\Pi_\mu^i(p,q)$ in the LCSR,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^i(p,q) &= i\int d^4x e^{iq\cdot x}\langle S(p)|T\left\{J_\mu^i(x)J_5(0)\right\}|0\rangle\,, \\
J_\mu^A(x) &= \overline{q}(x)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b(x)\,, \\
J_\mu^B(x) &= \overline{q}(x)\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 q^\nu b(x)\,, \\
J_5(0) &= m_b\overline{b}(0)i\gamma_5 q'(0)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $i=A,B$, $q,q'=u,d,s$, the pseudoscalar currents $J_5(x)$ interpolate the $B_{q'}$ mesons, and the axial-vector currents $J_\mu^i(x)$ induce the $B\to S$ transitions.

We can insert a complete set of intermediate hadronic states with the same quantum numbers as the current operators $J_5(0)$ into the correlation functions $\Pi_\mu^i(p,q)$ to obtain the hadronic representation [19, 20]. After isolating the ground state contributions from the $B_{q'}$ mesons, we get the following result,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^A(p,q) &= \frac{f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2\langle S(p)|J_\mu^A(0)|B_{q'}(p+q)\rangle}{m_{B_{q'}}^2-(p+q)^2}+\cdots\,, \\
&= -\frac{f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}{m_{B_{q'}}^2-(p+q)^2}\left\{2iF_+(q^2)p_\mu+i\left[F_+(q^2)+F_-(q^2)\right]q_\mu\right\}+\cdots\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^B(p,q) &= \frac{f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2\langle S(p)|J_\mu^B(0)|B_{q'}(p+q)\rangle}{m_{B_{q'}}^2-(p+q)^2}+\cdots\,, \\
&= -\frac{2f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}{\left(m_{B_{q'}}+m_S\right)\left(m_{B_{q'}}^2-(p+q)^2\right)}\left\{F_T(q^2)\left(q^2p_\mu-q\cdot pq_\mu\right)\right\}+\cdots\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the decay constants $f_{B_{q'}}$ and the form-factors $F_+(q^2)$, $F_-(q^2)$ and $F_T(q^2)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|J_5(0)|B_{q'}(p+q)\rangle &= f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2\,, \\
\langle S(p)|J_\mu^A(0)|B_{q'}(p+q)\rangle &= -2iF_+(q^2)p_\mu-i\left[F_+(q^2)+F_-(q^2)\right]q_\mu\,, \\
\langle S(p)|J_\mu^B(0)|B_{q'}(p+q)\rangle &= -\frac{2F_T(q^2)}{m_{B_{q'}}+m_S}\left(q^2p_\mu-q\cdot pq_\mu\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We can also parameterize the form-factors into another form,

$$\langle S(p)|J_\mu^A(0)|B_{q'}(p+q)\rangle = -i\left[F_1(q^2)\left(P_\mu-\frac{m^2_{B_{q'}}-m^2_S}{q^2}q_\mu\right)+F_0(q^2)\frac{m^2_{B_{q'}}-m^2_S}{q^2}q_\mu\right], \quad (5)$$

where $P=2p+q$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(q^2) &= F_+(q^2)\,, \\ F_0(q^2) &= F_+(q^2)+\frac{q^2}{m^2_{B_{q'}}-m^2_S}F_-(q^2)\,. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We carry out the operator product expansion for the correlation functions $\Pi^i_\mu(p,q)$ in the large space-like momentum region $(p+q)-m_b^2\ll 0$ and the large recoil region of the decaying $B_{q'}$-meson, which correspond to the small light-cone distance $x^2\approx 0$ and are required by the validity of the operator product expansion. We contract the b-quarks in the correlation functions $\Pi^i_\mu(p,q)$ with Wick's theorem, then substantiate the free b-quark propagator and the corresponding S-meson LCDAs into the correlation functions $\Pi^i_\mu(p,q)$ and complete the integrals both in the coordinate space and momentum space to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^A_\mu(p,q) &= im_b^2\int_0^1 du\left\{\frac{\phi(u)}{m_b^2-(q+up)^2}p_\mu-\frac{m_S\phi_s(u)}{m_b\left[m_b^2-(q+up)^2\right]}(q+up)_\mu\right. \\ &\quad -\frac{m_S\phi_\sigma(u)}{3m_b}\left[\frac{1}{m_b^2-(q+up)^2}+\frac{m_b^2}{\left(m_b^2-(q+up)^2\right)^2}\right]p_\mu \\ &\quad \left.+\frac{m_S\phi_\sigma(u)}{6m_b}\left[p_\mu+(q+up)_\mu\frac{d}{du}\right]\frac{1}{m_b^2-(q+up)^2}\right\}+\cdots\,, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\Pi^B_\mu(p,q) = m_b\int_0^1 du\left\{\frac{\phi(u)}{m_b^2-(q+up)^2}-\frac{m_Sm_b\phi_\sigma(u)}{3\left[m_b^2-(q+up)^2\right]^2}\right\}\left(q^2p_\mu-q\cdot pq_\mu\right)+\cdots\,. \quad (8)$$

Now we take a short digression to discuss the LCDAs of the related two-quark scalar mesons. Let us write down the definitions for the twist-2 and twist-3 LCDAs $\phi(u,\mu)$, $\phi_s(u,\mu)$ and $\phi_\sigma(u,\mu)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(p)|\bar{q}(x)\gamma_\mu q'(y)|0\rangle &= p_\mu\int_0^1 du e^{iup\cdot x+\bar{u}p\cdot y}\phi(u,\mu)\,, \\ \langle S(p)|\bar{q}(x)q'(y)|0\rangle &= m_S\int_0^1 du\, e^{i(up\cdot x+\bar{u}p\cdot y)}\phi_s(u,\mu)\,, \\ \langle S(p)|\bar{q}(x)\sigma_{\mu\nu}q'(y)|0\rangle &= -m_S(p_\mu z_\nu-p_\nu z_\mu)\int_0^1 du\, e^{i(up\cdot x+\bar{u}p\cdot y)}\frac{\phi_\sigma(u,\mu)}{6}\,, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the u is the fraction of the light-cone momentum of the scalar meson carried by the q-quark, $\bar{u}=1-u$ and $z=x-y$. The LCDAs $\phi(u,\mu)$, $\phi_s(u,\mu)$ and $\phi_\sigma(u,\mu)$ can be expanded into a series of Gegenbauer polynomials $C_m^{1/2(3/2)}(u-\bar{u})$ with increasing conformal spin according to the conformal symmetry of the QCD,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u,\mu) &= \bar{f}_S(\mu)6u\bar{u}\left[B_0(\mu)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}B_m(\mu)C_m^{3/2}(2u-1)\right], \\ \phi_s(u,\mu) &= \bar{f}_S(\mu)\left[1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}B^s_m(\mu)C_m^{1/2}(2u-1)\right], \\ \phi_\sigma(u,\mu) &= \bar{f}_S(\mu)6u\bar{u}\left[1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}B^\sigma_m(\mu)C_m^{3/2}(2u-1)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$



Figure 1: The six Feynman diagrams contribute to the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections, they are denoted by a, b, c, d, e, f sequentially in the text.

where the $B_m(\mu)$, $B_m^s(\mu)$ and $B_m^\sigma(\mu)$ are the Gegenbauer moments [4, 21, 22]. The twist-2 LCDA is antisymmetric under the interchange $u \leftrightarrow \bar{u}$ in the flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry limit, and the zeroth Gegenbauer moment B_0 vanishes in the flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry limit, the odd Gegenbauer moments are dominant. In this article, we take into account the first two odd moments B_1 and B_3 and set $B_m^s = B_m^\sigma = 0$ [23].

Then we calculate the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ contributions to the twist-2 terms, while the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the twist-3 terms are beyond the present work, as the contributions of the twist-3 terms are suppressed by the factor m_S/m_b (or $m_b m_S/M^2$ after the Borel transformation) and play a less important role, see Eqs.(7-8). The six Feynman diagrams which determine the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections are shown explicitly in Fig.1. For simplicity, we perform the calculations in the Feynman gauge, introduce convenient dimensionless variables $r_1 = q^2/m_b^2$, $r_2 = (p+q)^2/m_b^2$ (or s/m_b^2), $\rho = r_1 + u(r_2 - r_1)$, and take the approximation $m_S^2/m_b^2 \approx 0$. In calculations, we regularize both the ultraviolet and collinear (or infrared) divergences with dimensional regularization by setting $D = 4 - 2\varepsilon_{\rm UV} = 4 + 2\varepsilon_{\rm IR}$, and perform the renormaliztion in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme with totally anti-commuting γ_5. In the following, we write down the contributions of the diagrams a, b, c, d, e and f, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{A,a}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2}\\
&\quad\left\{\not{p}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not{k}+u\not{p}}{(k+up)^2}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\not{k}+\not{q}+u\not{p}+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\frac{\not{k}-\bar{u}\not{p}}{(k-\bar{u}p)^2}\gamma_\lambda\right\},\\
&= -\frac{iC_F\alpha_s}{2\pi}\int_0^1 du\phi(u)\left\{\left[\frac{\log(1-r_2)}{r_2}-\frac{\log(1-r_1)}{r_1}\right]q_\mu\right.\\
&\quad+\left[\frac{u}{1-r_1}+\frac{\bar{u}}{1-r_2}+\frac{\log(1-r_2)-\log(1-r_1)}{r_2-r_1}\right]\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\rm IR}}+\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\right)p_\mu\\
&\quad\left.+\left[\frac{G(r_2)-G(r_1)}{r_2-r_1}+\frac{\log(1-r_2)}{r_2}+u\frac{2\log(1-r_1)+1}{1-r_1}+\bar{u}\frac{2\log(1-r_2)+1}{1-r_2}\right]p_\mu\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{A,b+c}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2}\\
&\quad\left\{\not p\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+u\not p}{(k+up)^2}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\not k+\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_\lambda\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\not p\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\frac{\not k-\bar u\not p}{(k-\bar up)^2}\gamma_\lambda\right\},\\
&= \frac{iC_F\alpha_s}{2\pi}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{1-\rho}\left\{-\frac{1}{2u}\left[\frac{(1-\rho)F(\rho)}{\rho^2}-\frac{(1-r_1)F(r_1)}{r_1^2}\right]q_\mu\right.\\
&\quad+\left[\frac{F(\rho)-F(r_1)}{u(r_2-r_1)}-\frac{F(\rho)-F(r_2)}{\bar u(r_2-r_1)}+2\log(1-\rho)\right]\left(\frac{1}{\hat\varepsilon_{\rm IR}}+\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\right)p_\mu\\
&\quad+\left[\frac{5}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\hat\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}-\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\right)-\frac{(1-\rho)F(\rho)}{2\rho^2}+2\log^2(1-\rho)+2{\rm Li}_2(\rho)\right.\\
&\quad\left.+3+\frac{F(\rho)}{\rho}+\frac{F(r_2)}{r_2}\right]p_\mu\\
&\quad+\left[\frac{(1-\rho)G(\rho)-(1-r_1)G(r_1)-2F(\rho)+2F(r_1)}{u(r_2-r_1)}\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.-\frac{(1-\rho)G(\rho)-(1-r_2)G(r_2)-2F(\rho)+2F(r_2)}{\bar u(r_2-r_1)}\right]p_\mu\right\}, \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{A,d}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2}\\
&\quad\left\{\not p\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_\lambda\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\right\},\\
&= -\frac{iC_F\alpha_s}{2\pi}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)\rho}{(1-\rho)^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\hat\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}-\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}+1-\frac{(1-\rho)F(\rho)}{\rho^2}\right\}p_\mu\,, \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{A,e+f}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2}\\
&\quad\left\{\not p\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+u\not p}{(k+up)^2}\gamma_\lambda\frac{u\not p}{(up)^2}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\not p\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\frac{-\bar u\not p}{(-\bar up)^2}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k-\bar u\not p}{(k-\bar up)^2}\gamma_\lambda\right\},\\
&\propto \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}}\,, \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{B,a}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2} \\
&\quad \left\{\not p\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+u\not p}{(k+up)^2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 q^\nu\frac{\not k+\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\frac{\not k-\bar u\not p}{(k-\bar up)^2}\gamma_\lambda\right\}, \\
&= -\frac{C_F\alpha_s}{2\pi m_b}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{1-\rho}\left\{\left[\frac{u}{1-r_1}+\frac{\bar u}{1-r_2}+\frac{\log(1-r_2)-\log(1-r_1)}{r_2-r_1}\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{\hat\varepsilon_{\rm IR}}+\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\right)+\frac{G(r_2)-G(r_1)}{r_2-r_1}+\frac{1}{r_2-r_1}\left[\frac{F(r_2)}{r_2}-\frac{F(r_1)}{r_1}\right] \\
&\quad \left.+\frac{u\log(1-r_1)}{r_1}+\frac{\bar u\log(1-r_2)}{r_2}+u\frac{2\log(1-r_1)+1}{1-r_1}+\bar u\frac{2\log(1-r_2)+1}{1-r_2}\right\} \\
&\quad \left(q^2p_\mu-q\cdot pq_\mu\right), \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{B,b+c}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2} \\
&\quad \left\{\not p\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+u\not p}{(k+up)^2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 q^\nu\frac{\not k+\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_\lambda\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\right. \\
&\quad \left.+\not p\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 q^\nu\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\frac{\not k-\bar u\not p}{(k-\bar up)^2}\gamma_\lambda\right\}, \\
&= \frac{C_F\alpha_s}{2\pi m_b}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{1-\rho}\left\{\left[\frac{F(\rho)-F(r_1)}{u(r_2-r_1)}-\frac{F(\rho)-F(r_2)}{\bar u(r_2-r_1)}+2\log(1-\rho)\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{\hat\varepsilon_{\rm IR}}+\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\right)+\left[2\left(\frac{1}{\hat\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}-\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\right)+2\log^2(1-\rho)+2{\rm Li}_2(\rho)+3\right. \\
&\quad \left.+\frac{2F(\rho)}{\rho}\right]+\frac{1}{u(r_2-r_1)}\left[\frac{F(\rho)}{\rho}-\frac{F(r_1)}{r_1}\right]+\frac{1}{\bar u(r_2-r_1)}\left[\frac{F(\rho)}{\rho}-\frac{F(r_2)}{r_2}\right] \\
&\quad +\left[\frac{(1-\rho)G(\rho)-(1-r_1)G(r_1)-3F(\rho)+3F(r_1)}{u(r_2-r_1)}\right. \\
&\quad \left.\left.-\frac{(1-\rho)G(\rho)-(1-r_2)G(r_2)-F(\rho)+F(r_2)}{\bar u(r_2-r_1)}\right]\right\}\left(q^2p_\mu-q\cdot pq_\mu\right), \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{B,d}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2} \\
&\quad \left\{\not p\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 q^\nu\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not k+\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(k+q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_\lambda\frac{\not q+u\not p+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\right\}, \\
&= -\frac{C_F\alpha_s}{4\pi m_b}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{(1-\rho)^2}\left\{(7-\rho)\left[\frac{1}{\hat\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}-\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}+\frac{F(\rho)}{\rho}\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left.+4-\frac{(1+\rho)F(\rho)}{\rho^2}\right\}\left(q^2p_\mu-q\cdot pq_\mu\right), \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\mu^{B,e+f}(p,q) &= -\frac{C_F g_s^2 m_b}{4}\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_0^1 du\frac{\phi(u)}{k^2}\\
&\quad\left\{\not{p}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not{k}+u\not{p}}{(k+up)^2}\gamma_\lambda\frac{u\not{p}}{(up)^2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 q^\nu\frac{\not{q}+u\not{p}+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\not{p}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 q^\nu\frac{\not{q}+u\not{p}+m_b}{(q+up)^2-m_b^2}\gamma_5\frac{-\bar{u}\not{p}}{(-\bar{u}p)^2}\gamma^\lambda\frac{\not{k}-\bar{u}\not{p}}{(k-\bar{u}p)^2}\gamma_\lambda\right\},\\
&\propto \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}}, \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\rm UV}} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}-\gamma_E+\log 4\pi,\\
\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\rm IR}} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}}+\gamma_E-\log 4\pi,\\
F(\rho) &= (1-\rho)\log(1-\rho)+\rho,\\
G(\rho) &= \log^2(1-\rho)+{\rm Li}_2(\rho)+\log(1-\rho), \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

${\rm Li}_2(x)=-\int_0^x dt\frac{\log(1-t)}{t}$ and $C_F=\frac{4}{3}$. The terms proportional to the ultraviolet divergence $\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\rm UV}}$ are eliminated through renormalization, while the terms proportional to the infrared (or collinear) divergence $\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\rm IR}}+\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}$ are absorbed in the twist-2 LCDA $\phi(u,\mu)$. The terms proportional to $\frac{1}{\bar{u}}$ are potentially divergent at the end point $u=1$ after performing the quark-hadron duality, we absorb those terms into the twist-2 LCDA $\phi(u,\mu)$. We introduce the notations $\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{A,\alpha_s}(p,q)$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{B,\alpha_s}(p,q)$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{A,a,b,c,d}(p,q)$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{B,a,b,c,d}(p,q)$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_+(q^2,(p+q)^2)$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_{+-}(q^2,(p+q)^2)$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_T(q^2,(p+q)^2)$ to denote the renormalized correlation functions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{A,\alpha_s}(p,q) &= \widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{A,a}(p,q)+\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{A,b+c}(p,q)+\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{A,d}(p,q),\\
&= \widetilde{\Pi}_+(q^2,(p+q)^2)p_\mu+\widetilde{\Pi}_{+-}(q^2,(p+q)^2)q_\mu,\\
\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{B,\alpha_s}(p,q) &= \widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{B,a}(p,q)+\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{B,b+c}(p,q)+\widetilde{\Pi}_\mu^{B,d}(p,q),\\
&= \widetilde{\Pi}_T(q^2,(p+q)^2)\left(q^2p_\mu-q\cdot pq_\mu\right). \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain the QCD spectral densities through the dispersion relation,

$$
\widetilde{\Pi}_i(q^2,(p+q)^2) = \int_{m_b^2}^{s_0}ds\frac{\rho_i^{\alpha_s}(s)}{s-(p+q)^2}+\int_{s_0}^{\infty}ds\frac{\rho_i^{\alpha_s}(s)}{s-(p+q)^2}, \qquad (21)
$$

where $i = +, +-, T$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_+^{\alpha_s}(s) &= \frac{\mathrm{Im}\widetilde{\Pi}_+(q^2,s)}{\pi}\,, \\
&= \frac{C_F\alpha_s}{2\pi}\int_{\bar{\Delta}}^1 du\phi(u)\left\{\frac{1}{r_2}+\frac{2\bar{u}}{1-r_2}-\frac{\log r_2-2\log(r_2-1)-1}{r_2-r_1}\right. \\
&+\Theta(\rho-1)\left[\frac{1-\rho}{2\rho^2}-\frac{4\log(\rho-1)}{1-\rho}\,|_+ +\frac{2\log\rho}{1-\rho}\,|_+ -\frac{2}{\rho}-\frac{1-r_2}{r_2}\frac{1}{1-\rho}\,|_+\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\log\rho+1-2\log(\rho-1)}{u(r_2-r_1)}\right] \\
&+\Theta(1-\rho)\left[-\frac{1-r_2}{r_2}\frac{1}{1-\rho}\,|_+\right] \\
&+\delta(1-\rho)\left[-\frac{5}{2}\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}+2\log^2(r_2-1)+2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-r_2)-\frac{\pi^2}{3}+6\right. \\
&+\frac{1-r_2}{r_2}\left[2\log(r_2-1)-\log(1-r_1)\right]+\left(1-\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{r_2-r_1}\frac{\overleftarrow{d}}{du}\right) \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{2F(r_1)-(1-r_1)G(r_1)-2}{u(r_2-r_1)}\right]\right\}\,, \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{+-}^{\alpha_s}(s) &= \frac{\mathrm{Im}\widetilde{\Pi}_{+-}(q^2,s)}{\pi}\,, \\
&= \frac{C_F\alpha_s}{2\pi}\int_{\bar{\Delta}}^1 du\phi(u)\left\{\frac{1}{r_2}-\Theta(\rho-1)\frac{\rho-1}{2u\rho^2}+\delta(1-\rho)\frac{(1-r_1)F(r_1)}{2ur_1^2}\right\}\,, \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_T^{\alpha_s}(s) &= \frac{\mathrm{Im}\widetilde{\Pi}_T(q^2,s)}{\pi}\,, \\
&= \frac{C_F\alpha_s}{2\pi m_b}\int_{\bar{\Delta}}^1 du\phi(u)\left\{\frac{2\log(r_2-1)-\log r_2+1}{r_2-r_1}-\frac{r_2-1}{r_2(r_2-r_1)}+\frac{\bar{u}}{r_2}+\frac{2\bar{u}}{1-r_2}\right. \\
&+\Theta(\rho-1)\left[-\frac{3}{2\rho}-\frac{4\log(\rho-1)}{1-\rho}\,|_+ +\frac{2\log\rho}{1-\rho}\,|_+ +\frac{\log\rho-2\log(\rho-1)-1}{u(r_2-r_1)}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(3-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)\frac{1}{u(r_2-r_1)}+\frac{5\rho-1}{2\rho^2}\frac{1}{1-\rho}\,|_+\right]+\delta(1-\rho)\left[\frac{9}{2}-\frac{\pi^2}{3}+2\log^2(r_2-1)\right. \\
&+2\mathrm{Li}_2(1-r_2)-\frac{3}{2}\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}+\left(3F(r_1)-(1-r_1)G(r_1)-2-\frac{F(r_1)}{r_1}\right)\frac{1}{u(r_2-r_1)} \\
&\left.\left.-2\log(r_2-1)-3\log\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2}\frac{1}{r_2-r_1}\frac{\overleftarrow{d}}{du}+\frac{9}{2}\frac{1}{r_2-r_1}\frac{\overleftarrow{d}}{du}-\frac{1}{2\rho}\frac{1}{r_2-r_1}\frac{\overleftarrow{d}}{du}\right]\right\}\,, \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

$\bar{\Delta}=\frac{m_b^2-q^2}{s_0-q^2}$, $\phi(\rho)\frac{f(\rho)}{1-\rho}\,|_+=\frac{f(\rho)}{1-\rho}\left[\phi(\rho)-\phi(1)\right]$, and $\Theta(x)=1$ for $x\geq 0$, the $\frac{\overleftarrow{d}}{du}$ does not act on the $\delta(1-\rho)$.

We take quark-hadron duality below the continuum thresholds s_0, and perform the Borel trans-

formation with respect to the variable $P'^2=-(p+q)^2$ to obtain the LCSR,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_+(q^2) &= -\frac{m_b^2}{2f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}\exp\left(\frac{m_{B_{q'}}^2}{M^2}\right)\int_\Delta^1 du\left\{\frac{\phi(u)}{u}-\frac{m_S\phi_s(u)}{m_b}-\frac{m_S\phi_\sigma(u)}{3um_b}\left(1+\frac{m_b^2}{uM^2}\right)\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{m_S\phi_\sigma(u)}{6um_b}\left(1+u^2\frac{d}{du}\frac{1}{u}\right)\right\}\exp\left(-\frac{m_b^2+u\bar{u}m_S^2-\bar{u}q^2}{uM^2}\right)\\
&-\frac{m_b^2}{2f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}\exp\left(\frac{m_{B_{q'}}^2}{M^2}\right)\int_{m_b^2}^{s_0}ds\rho_+^{\alpha_s}(s)\exp\left(-\frac{s}{M^2}\right)\,, \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_+(q^2)+F_-(q^2) &= -\frac{m_b^2}{f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}\exp\left(\frac{m_{B_{q'}}^2}{M^2}\right)\frac{m_S}{m_b}\int_\Delta^1 du\left\{-\frac{\phi_s(u)}{u}+\frac{\phi_\sigma(u)}{6}\frac{d}{du}\frac{1}{u}\right\}\\
&\exp\left(-\frac{m_b^2+u\bar{u}m_S^2-\bar{u}q^2}{uM^2}\right)\\
&-\frac{m_b^2}{f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}\exp\left(\frac{m_{B_{q'}}^2}{M^2}\right)\int_{m_b^2}^{s_0}ds\rho_{+-}^{\alpha_s}(s)\exp\left(-\frac{s}{M^2}\right)\,, \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_T(q^2) &= -\frac{m_{B_{q'}}+m_S}{2f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}\exp\left(\frac{m_{B_{q'}}^2}{M^2}\right)\int_\Delta^1 du\left\{\frac{m_b\phi(u)}{u}-\frac{m_b^2m_S\phi_\sigma(u)}{3u^2M^2}\right\}\\
&\exp\left(-\frac{m_b^2+u\bar{u}m_S^2-\bar{u}q^2}{uM^2}\right)\\
&-\frac{m_{B_{q'}}+m_S}{2f_{B_{q'}}m_{B_{q'}}^2}\exp\left(\frac{m_{B_{q'}}^2}{M^2}\right)\int_{m_b^2}^{s_0}ds\rho_T^{\alpha_s}(s)\exp\left(-\frac{s}{M^2}\right)\,, \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta=\left[\sqrt{(s-q^2-m_S^2)^2+4m_S^2(m_b^2-q^2)}-(s-q^2-m_S^2)\right]/2m_S^2$, numerically $\Delta\approx\bar{\Delta}$.

3 Numerical results and discussions

The hadronic masses are taken as $m_{B^\pm}=5279.25\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{B^0}=5279.55\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{B_s}=5366.7\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{a_0(980)}=980\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{\kappa(800)}=682\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{f_0(980)}=990\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{a_0(1450)}=1474\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{K_0^*(1430)}=1425\,\text{MeV}$, $m_{f_0(1500)}=1505\,\text{MeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [24]. The threshold parameters and the decay constants of the $B_{q'}$ mesons are taken from the two-point QCD sum rules $s_B^0=(33\pm1)\,\text{GeV}^2$, $s_{B_s}^0=(35\pm1)\,\text{GeV}^2$, $f_B=(190\pm17)\,\text{MeV}$ and $f_{B_s}=(233\pm17)\,\text{MeV}$ [25].

In the article, we take the $\overline{MS}$ mass $m_b(m_b)=(4.18\pm0.03)\,\text{GeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [24], and take into account the energy-scale dependence of the $\overline{MS}$ mass from the renormalization group equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_b(\mu) &= m_b(m_b)\left[\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(m_b)}\right]^{\frac{12}{23}}\,,\\
\alpha_s(\mu) &= \frac{1}{b_0t}\left[1-\frac{b_1}{b_0^2}\frac{\log t}{t}+\frac{b_1^2(\log^2t-\log t-1)+b_0b_2}{b_0^4t^2}\right]\,, \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

where $t=\log\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}$, $b_0=\frac{33-2n_f}{12\pi}$, $b_1=\frac{153-19n_f}{24\pi^2}$, $b_2=\frac{2857-\frac{5033}{9}n_f+\frac{325}{27}n_f^2}{128\pi^3}$, $\Lambda=213\,\text{MeV}$, $296\,\text{MeV}$ and $339\,\text{MeV}$ for the flavors $n_f=5$, 4 and 3, respectively [24]. The scale evolution behaviors of the



Figure 2: The central values of the form-factors $F_+(0)$, $F_-(0)$ and $F_T(0)$ with variations of the Borel parameter M^2, where A, B, C and D denote the transitions $B-a_0(980)$, $B-\kappa(800)$, $B_s-\kappa(800)$ and $B_s-f_0(980)$, respectively.

LCDAs $\phi(u,\mu)$, $\phi_s(u,\mu)$ and $\phi_\sigma(u,\mu)$ are also determined by the renormalization group equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}_S(\mu) &= \bar{f}_S(\mu_0)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{\alpha_s(\mu)}\right)^{\frac{4}{b}},\\ B_m(\mu) &= B_m(\mu_0)\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{\alpha_s(\mu)}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_m+4}{b}},\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $b=(33-2n_f)/3$, and the one-loop anomalous dimensions [26] is

$$\gamma_m = C_F\left(1-\frac{2}{(m+1)(m+2)}+4\sum_{j=2}^{m+1}\frac{1}{j}\right). \tag{30}$$

We take the values of the parameters in the LCDAs $\phi(u,\mu)$, $\phi_s(u,\mu)$ and $\phi_\sigma(u,\mu)$ as $\bar{f}_{a_0(980)}=(365\pm20)\,\text{MeV}$, $B_1^{a_0(980)}=-0.93\pm0.10$, $B_3^{a_0(980)}=0.14\pm0.08$, $\bar{f}_{\kappa(800)}=(340\pm20)\,\text{MeV}$, $B_1^{\kappa(800)}=-0.92\pm0.11$, $B_3^{\kappa(800)}=0.15\pm0.09$, $\bar{f}_{f_0(980)}=(370\pm20)\,\text{MeV}$, $B_1^{f_0(980)}=-0.78\pm0.08$, $B_3^{f_0(980)}=0.02\pm0.07$, $\bar{f}_{a_0(1450)}=(460\pm50)\,\text{MeV}$, $B_1^{a_0(1450)}=-0.58\pm0.12$, $B_3^{a_0(1450)}=-0.49\pm0.15$, $\bar{f}_{K_0^*(1430)}=(445\pm50)\,\text{MeV}$, $B_1^{K_0^*(1430)}=-0.57\pm0.13$, $B_3^{K_0^*(1430)}=-0.42\pm0.22$, $\bar{f}_{f_0(1500)}=(490\pm50)\,\text{MeV}$, $B_1^{f_0(1500)}=-0.48\pm0.11$, $B_3^{f_0(1500)}=-0.37\pm0.20$ from the two-point QCD sum rules at the energy scale $\mu_0=1\,\text{GeV}$ [23].

We evolve all the scale dependent quantities to the energy scale $\mu=\sqrt{m_B^2-m_b^2}\approx2.4\,\text{GeV}$ to calculate the transition form-factors. The constituent quarks of the scalar mesons are in essence off-shell or far from their mass-shell by the virtuality of the order m_S^2 as carrying the total momentum of the scalar mesons, which have a large light-cone momentum, we should take the energy scale $\mu\geq m_S$. The validity of the operator product expansion requires $0\leq q^2<(m_b-m_S)^2-2(m_b-m_S)\chi$, where the χ is a typical hadronic scale of roughly 500 MeV. The available regions are $0\leq q^2<11\text{GeV}^2$ for a scalar mesons $a_0(980)$, $\kappa(800)$, $f_0(980)$ and $0\leq q^2<8\text{GeV}^2$ for a scalar mesons $a_0(1450)$, $K_0^*(1430)$, $f_0(1500)$.

In Figs.2-3, we plot the central values of the transition form-factors $F_+(0)$, $-F_-(0)$ and $F_T(0)$ with variations of the Borel parameter M^2. From the figures, we can see that the form-factors $F_+(0)$, $-F_-(0)$ and $F_T(0)$ for all the transitions $B-a_0(980)$, $B-\kappa(800)$, $B_s-\kappa(800)$, $B_s-f_0(980)$, $B-a_0(1450)$, $B-K_0^*(1430)$, $B_s-K_0^*(1430)$ and $B_s-f_0(1500)$ are rather stable with variations of the Borel parameter M^2 at the region $M^2=(8-12)\,\text{GeV}^2$. So in the article, we take the Borel parameter as $M^2=(8-12)\,\text{GeV}^2$.

We take into account all uncertainties of the input parameters and obtain the numerical values of the transitions form-factors, which are shown explicitly in Figs.4-5.



Figure 3: The central values of the form-factors $F_+(0)$, $F_-(0)$ and $F_T(0)$ with variations of the Borel parameter M^2, where A, B, C and D denote the transitions $B-a_0(1450)$, $B-K_0^*(1430)$, $B_s-K_0^*(1430)$ and $B_s-f_0(1500)$, respectively.

	$F_+(0)$	a_+
$B-a_0(980)$	0.576 ± 0.042	0.987 ± 0.251
$B-\kappa(800)$	0.504 ± 0.039	0.988 ± 0.266
$B_s-\kappa(800)$	0.442 ± 0.033	0.904 ± 0.274
$B_s-f_0(980)$	0.448 ± 0.032	0.952 ± 0.257
$B-a_0(1450)$	0.549 ± 0.071	0.743 ± 0.656
$B-K_0^*(1430)$	0.523 ± 0.070	0.795 ± 0.669
$B_s-K_0^*(1430)$	0.458 ± 0.062	0.885 ± 0.644
$B_s-f_0(1500)$	0.470 ± 0.059	0.941 ± 0.595

Table 1: The parameters of the fitted transition form-factors $F_+(q^2)$.

The numerical values of the form-factors are fitted into the single-pole form,

$$F_i(q^2) = \frac{F_i(0)}{1-a_i\frac{q^2}{m_B^2}}, \tag{31}$$

with the MINUIT, where $m_B=5.28\,\text{GeV}$, $i=+,-,T$, the values of the $F_i(0)$ and a_i are shown explicitly in Tables 1-3. The form-factors can be taken as basic input parameters, and study the semi-leptonic and non-leptonic B-decays to the scalar mesons so as to shed light on the structures of the scalar mesons or explore the CKM matrix elements.

In Tables 4-7, we present the $B-S$ transition form-factors from the LCSR [6, 7, 8, 9], the three-point QCD sum rules [10, 11, 12], and also the results without perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections in the LCSR. From the Table, we can see that the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections are about $(15-35)\%$ and $(5-20)\%$ for the $B-S$ form-factors with the scalar mesons bellow and above 1 GeV, respectively, we should take them into account consistently.

4 Conclusion

In the article, we assume the two scalar nonet mesons below and above 1 GeV are all $\bar{q}q$ states, in case I, the scalar mesons below 1 GeV are the ground states, in case II, the scalar mesons above 1 GeV are the ground states. We calculate the $B-S$ transition form-factors by taking into account the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the twist-2 terms using the LCSR and fit the numerical values of the form-factors into the single-pole forms. The numerical results indicate that the perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections are about $(15-35)\%$ and $(5-20)\%$ for the $B-S$ form-factors



Figure 4: The form-factors $F_+(q^2)$, $F_-(q^2)$ and $F_T(q^2)$ with variations of the momentum transfer squared q^2, where A, B, C and D denote the transitions $B - a_0(980)$, $B - \kappa(800)$, $B_s - \kappa(800)$ and $B_s - f_0(980)$, respectively.



Figure 5: The form-factors $F_+(q^2)$, $F_-(q^2)$ and $F_T(q^2)$ with variations of the momentum transfer squared q^2, where A, B, C and D denote the transitions $B-a_0(1450)$, $B-K_0^*(1430)$, $B_s-K_0^*(1430)$ and $B_s-f_0(1500)$, respectively.

	$-F_-(0)$	a_-
$B-a_0(980)$	0.414 ± 0.036	0.904 ± 0.319
$B-\kappa(800)$	0.390 ± 0.034	0.934 ± 0.314
$B_s-\kappa(800)$	0.340 ± 0.030	0.829 ± 0.342
$B_s-f_0(980)$	0.305 ± 0.029	0.830 ± 0.377
$B-a_0(1450)$	0.287 ± 0.067	0.190 ± 1.445
$B-K_0^*(1430)$	0.275 ± 0.064	0.330 ± 1.402
$B_s-K_0^*(1430)$	0.240 ± 0.058	0.518 ± 1.353
$B_s-f_0(1500)$	0.222 ± 0.057	0.565 ± 1.418

Table 2: The parameters of the fitted transition form-factors $F_-(q^2)$.

	$F_T(0)$	a_T
$B-a_0(980)$	0.778 ± 0.062	0.961 ± 0.278
$B-\kappa(800)$	0.673 ± 0.056	0.970 ± 0.288
$B_s-\kappa(800)$	0.596 ± 0.049	0.877 ± 0.304
$B_s-f_0(980)$	0.596 ± 0.048	0.900 ± 0.299
$B-a_0(1450)$	0.693 ± 0.112	0.511 ± 0.893
$B-K_0^*(1430)$	0.657 ± 0.109	0.598 ± 0.900
$B_s-K_0^*(1430)$	0.575 ± 0.098	0.718 ± 0.874
$B_s-f_0(1500)$	0.570 ± 0.095	0.778 ± 0.835

Table 3: The parameters of the fitted transition form-factors $F_T(q^2)$.

	$B-a_0(980)$	$B-\kappa(800)$	$B_s-\kappa(800)$	$B_s-f_0(980)$
[7]				$0.19/--$
[8]	0.56/0.56	0.46/0.46	0.53/0.53	0.44/0.44
[12]				0.12/0.17
This work	0.58/0.41	0.50/0.39	0.44/0.34	0.45/0.31
LO	0.45/0.32	0.39/0.30	0.36/0.29	0.38/0.25

Table 4: The values of the $F_+(0)/\left(-F_-(0)\right)$ of the transitions $B-a_0(980)$, $B-\kappa(800)$, $B_s-\kappa(800)$ and $B_s-f_0(980)$ from the LCSR and QCD sum rules, where the LO denotes the leading order contributions.

	$B-a_0(1450)$	$B-K_0^*(1430)$	$B_s-K_0^*(1430)$	$B_s-f_0(1500)$
[6]	0.52/0.44	0.49/0.41	0.42/0.34	0.43/0.37
[8]	0.53/0.53	0.49/0.49	0.44/0.44	0.41/0.41
[9]	0.44/0.26	0.45/0.28	0.39/0.25	0.38/0.24
[10]			$0.24/--$	
[11]		0.31/0.31		
This work	0.55/0.29	0.52/0.28	0.46/0.24	0.47/0.22
LO	0.51/0.26	0.48/0.25	0.43/0.22	0.44/0.21

Table 5: The values of the $F_+(0)/\left(-F_-(0)\right)$ of the transitions $B-a_0(1450)$, $B-K_0^*(1430)$, $B_s-K_0^*(1430)$ and $B_s-f_0(1500)$ from the LCSR and QCD sum rules, where the LO denotes the leading order contributions.

	$B-a_0(980)$	$B-\kappa(800)$	$B_s-\kappa(800)$	$B_s-f_0(980)$
[7]				0.23
[8]		0.58		0.58
This work	0.78	0.67	0.60	0.60
LO	0.51	0.44	0.42	0.43

Table 6: The values of the $F_T(0)$ of the transitions $B-a_0(980)$, $B-\kappa(800)$, $B_s-\kappa(800)$ and $B_s-f_0(980)$ from the LCSR and QCD sum rules, where the LO denotes the leading order contributions.

	$B-a_0(1450)$	$B-K_0^*(1430)$	$B_s-K_0^*(1430)$	$B_s-f_0(1500)$
[6]	0.66	0.60	0.52	0.56
[8]		0.69		0.59
[9]	0.43	0.46	0.41	0.40
[11]		0.26		
This work	0.69	0.66	0.58	0.57
LO	0.55	0.51	0.47	0.47

Table 7: The values of the $F_T(0)$ of the transitions $B-a_0(1450)$, $B-K_0^*(1430)$, $B_s-K_0^*(1430)$ and $B_s-f_0(1500)$ from the LCSR and QCD sum rules, where the LO denotes the leading order contributions.

with the scalar mesons bellow and above 1 GeV, respectively, we should take them into account consistently. The form-factors can be taken as basic input parameters, and study the semi-leptonic and non-leptonic B-decays to the scalar mesons so as to shed light on the structures of the scalar mesons or explore the CKM matrix elements.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Numbers 11375063, and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei province, Grant Number A2014502017.

References

[1] C. Amsler and N. A. Tornqvist, Phys. Rept. **389** (2004) 61.

[2] M. Boglione and M. R. Pennington, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 114010.

[3] I. I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun and A. V. Kolesnichenko, Nucl. Phys. **B312** (1989) 509; V. M. Braun and I. E. Filyanov, Z. Phys. **C48** (1990) 239.

[4] V. L. Chernyak and A. R. Zhitnitsky, Phys. Rept. **112** (1984) 173.

[5] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68** (1996) 1125; M. Artuso et al, Eur. Phys. J. **C57** (2008) 309; M. Antonelli et al, Phys. Rept. **494** (2010) 197.

[6] Y. M. Wang, M. J. Aslam and C. D. Lu, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 014006.

[7] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and W. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 074001.

[8] Y. J. Sun, Z. H. Li and T. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 025024.

[9] H. Y. Han, X. G. Wu, H. B. Fu, Q. L. Zhang and T. Zhong, Eur. Phys. J. **A49** (2013) 78.

[10] M. Z. Yang, Phys. Rev. **D73** (2006) 034027.

[11] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi and M. Savci, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 074017.

[12] N. Ghahramany and R. Khosravi, Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 016009.

[13] R. H. Li, C. D. Lu, W. Wang and X. X. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 014013.

[14] C. H. Chen, C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lih and C. C. Liu, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 074010.

[15] A. Khodjamirian, R. Ruckl, S. Weinzierl and O. I. Yakovlev, Phys. Lett. **B410** (1997) 275; E. Bagan, P. Ball and V. M. Braun, Phys. Lett. **B417** (1998) 154; Z. G.Wang, M. Z. Zhou and T.Huang, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003)094006; Z. H. Li, N. Zhu, X. J. Fan and T. Huang, JHEP **1205** (2012) 160.

[16] P. Ball and R. Zwicky, JHEP **0110** (2001) 019; P. Ball and R. Zwicky Phys. Rev. **D71** (2005) 014015; G. Duplancic, A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel, B. Melic and N. Offen, JHEP **0804** (2008) 014.

[17] P. Ball and V. M. Braun, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 094016; P. Ball and R .Zwicky, Phys. Rev. **D71** (2005) 014029.

[18] A. Bharucha, JHEP **1205** (2012) 092.

[19] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 385; Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 448.

[20] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rept. **127** (1985) 1.

[21] V. M. Braun, G. P. Korchemsky and D. Mueller, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51** (2003) 311.

[22] C. D. Lu, Y. M. Wang and H. Zou, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 056001.

[23] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. **D73** (2006) 014017.

[24] J. Beringer et al, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 010001.

[25] Z. G. Wang, JHEP **1310** (2013) 208.

[26] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 980; M. A. Shifman and M. I. Vysotsky, Nucl. Phys. **B186** (1981) 475.